CONNELL FOLEY LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

85 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NJ 07068-3702 (973) 535-0500 FAX: (973) 535-9217

OTHER OFFICES

HARBORSIDE FINANCIAL CENTER 2510 PLAZA FIVE JERSEY CITY, NJ 07311 (201) 521-1000

NEW YORK, NY 10106 (212) 307-3700 FAX: (212) 262-0050 FAX: (201) 521-0100 1500 MARKET STREET LIBERTY VIEW

12TH FLOOR, 457 HADDONFIELD EAST TOWER ROAD, SUITE 230 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08002 (856) 317-7100 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102 (215) 246-3403 FAX: (215) 665-5727 FAX: (856) 317-7117

> THE ATRIUM, SUITE E 309 MORRIS AVENUE SPRING LAKE, NJ 07762 (732) 449-1440 FAX: (732)449-0934

COUNSEL IOHN W RISSELL

EUGENE J. CODEY, JR. FRANCIS J. ORLANDO FRANCIS E. SCHILLER* EUGENE P. SOUEO* BRIAN P. MORRISSEY-NOEL D. HUMPHREYS3 ANTHONY ROMANO II* KARIN I. SPALDING* JODI ANNE HUDSON* RICHARD A. JAGEN JASON E. MARX* ALEXIS E. LAZZARA SHANNON I KEIM DANIEL B. KESSLER*

DOUGLAS J. SHORT JAMES M. MERENDINO MICHELE T. TANTALLA HECTOR D. RUIZ* ROBERT A. VERDIBELLO* JENNIFER C. CRITCHLEY* PATRICK S. BRANNIGAN* CHRISTINE I. GANNON* PHILIP W. ALLOGRAMENTO III*
LAURIE B. KACHONICK* ANDREW C. SAYLES* STEPHEN D. KESSLER CHRISTOPHER ABATEMARCO ANTHONY J. CORINO WILLIAM D. DEVEAU RUKHSANAH L., SINGH* STACIE L. POWERS NICOLE B. DORY* MICHAEL BOJBASA-EKTA B. PATEL+ CHRISTOPHER M. HEMRICK SUSAN KWIATKOWSKI3

ANDREW L. BARON JASON D. FALK* MICHAEL J. SHORTT+ VICTORIA N. MANOUSHAGIAN-PATRICK J. MURPHY, III MEGHAN K. MUSSO* Brendan W. Carroll* EDMIND I. CAILLEIELD* SYDNEY J. DARLING JESSICA L. PALMER STEPHEN R. TURANO TARA L. TOULOUMIS* STEVEN A. KROLL' ROBERT M. DIPISA* MATTHEW A. BAKER+ MICHAEL J. CREEGAN* THOMAS M. BLEWITT, JR.+ BRIAN S. WOLFSON MARY F. HURLEY JAMES E. FIGLIOZZI-

*ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK +ALSO ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA -ONLY ADMITTED IN NEW YORK PLEASE REPLY TO ROSELAND, NJ

PATRICK J. HUGHES*

JAMES C. MCCANN*
JOHN D. CROMIE

Angela A. Iuso* William T. McGloin*

STEPHEN V. FALANGA: TRICIA O'REILLY*

ANTHONY F. VITIELLO* MARC D. HAEFNER

JONATHAN P. MCHENRY BRAD D. SHALIT*

CRAIG S. DEMARESKI*

THOMAS J. O'LEARY*
MITCHELL W. TARASCHI

MICHAEL A. SHADIACK OWEN C. MCCARTHY*

PATRICIA A. LEE**
AGNIESZKA ANTONIAN*

CHRISTOPHER J. TUCCI+

THOMAS M. SCUDERI*

CHRISTINE S. ORLANDO

JOSEPH M. MURPHY*

NEIL V. MODY

STEVE BARNETT

W. NEVINS MCCANN

M. TREVOR LYONS

BRENDAN JUDGE
STEPHEN A. URBAN
CHARLES J. HARRINGTON III

Writer's Direct Dial: (973) 533-4254 Writer's Email Address: jmchenry@connellfoley.com

JOHN A. PINDAR (1969)

GEORGE J. KENNY* KENNETH F. KUNZMAN

RICHARD D. CATENACCI

RICHARD J. BADOLATO*

PETER D. MANAHAN

JOHN B. MURRAY

MARK L. FLEDER KEVIN J. COAKLEY

THOMAS S. COSMA KATHLEEN S. MURPHY

PATRICK J. MCAULEY PETER J. PIZZI**

MICHAEL X. MCBRIDE*
JEFFREY W. MORYAN*
EDWARD S. WARDELL

KAREN PAINTER RANDALL LIZA M. WALSH

JOHN P. LACEY MICHAEL J. CROWLEY-

TIMOTHY E. CORRISTON*

KEVIN R. GARDNER

ROBERT E. RYAN

PETER J. SMITH

WILLIAM P. KRAUSS BRIAN G. STELLER
PHILIP F. MCGOVERN, JR.

SAMUEL D. LORD

GEORGE W. CONNELL (2005) ADRIAN M. FOLEY, JR.

July 27, 2012

888 SEVENTH AVENUE 9TH FLOOR

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND FEDERAL EXPRESS

Robert S. Sanoff, Esq. Foley Hoag 155 Seaport Boulevard Boston, MA 02210-2600

Home Ins. Co. v. Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, et al.

Claim No.: 05-114501

Dear Mr. Sanoff:

Re:

On behalf of Defendants, Columbia Casualty Company and Continental Casualty Company (collectively, "CNA"), receipt is acknowledged of your July 19, 2012 letter, enclosing a proposed form of Consent Decree between your client, Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc. ("CDE"), and various governmental entities, including the United States and the State of New Jersey. Your letter indicates that CDE intends to execute the proposed Consent Decree on or about July 30, 2012.

Your July 19th correspondence is the first notice (or indeed any indication whatsoever) that CDE has been engaged in what certainly appears to have been a long-standing process with the United States and New Jersey to negotiate the proposed Consent Decree that purports to assign \$367,453,449 in liability to CDE at the South Plainfield site (the "Site"). This 11th hour disclosure is particularly disconcerting given that the existence of information and documents regarding the Consent Decree negotiations were never disclosed nor produced in the pending insurance coverage litigation or the current mediation between our respective clients. In fact, CNA has continually requested all information and documentation regarding CDE's alleged liability at the Site, and CDE has repeatedly represented in the litigation and throughout the current mediation process (including as recently as only a few weeks ago) that it already provided all information in its possession.

Robert S. Sanoff, Esq. July 27, 2012 Page 2

In any event, on its face, the proposed Consent Decree appears to inappropriately stipulate to a liability amount to CDE that is based upon a highly speculative estimate of future liabilities unsupported by reliable site information. The CNA excess policies provide -- subject to all terms, conditions, and exclusions -- for indemnification of an amount of loss which is in excess of all applicable underlying limits of liability. As a result, any excess coverage provided by CNA would not be implicated unless and until all underlying limits have been fully and properly exhausted. While CNA has not been afforded sufficient time nor the information required to fully evaluate the proposed Consent Decree, it appears that the proposed stipulation for unincurred and speculative future damages is insufficient to implicate excess coverage, and the proposed Consent Decree may not be used to artificially accelerate any coverage obligations of the excess insurers.

The proposed Consent Decree also appears to contain unreasonable insurance recovery and distribution provisions. Indeed, while the precise meaning and operation of these objectionable provisions remain somewhat unclear to CNA, they appear to be inconsistent with and otherwise violate the provisions of the CNA excess liability insurance policies. Accordingly, CNA does not intend to and rejects any invitation to be bound by such provisions in the proposed Consent Decree.

Furthermore, even if the proposed Consent Decree were fully executed and approved by a Court, it does not appear that the purported liabilities to which CDE intends to stipulate would qualify as damages which would implicate the CNA excess policies at this time. In this regard, additional information is required (and hereby requested) as any alleged liabilities of CDE are actually incurred in order to determine whether and to what extent they give rise to a coverage obligation pursuant to the terms, conditions and exclusions of the CNA excess policies.

CNA further requests that CDE immediately produce any and all information and documentation that form the basis for the stipulated amount of liability that is contained in the proposed Consent Decree. This is a continuing request and, as set forth above, one that appears to have been largely ignored by CDE in both the litigation and our mediation discussions.

Additionally, CNA requests that CDE immediately produce all information and documents in its possession relating to its communications with the United States (including but not limited to the United States Attorney's Office, Department of Army, Defense Plant Corporation, DOD, DOI, DOJ, EPA, GSA, NOAA, any of their respective successor departments, agencies, trustees and/or instrumentalities; and with the State of New Jersey (including but not limited to the New Jersey State Attorney General's Office, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, and any of their respective successor departments, agencies, trustees and/or instrumentalities), concerning CDE's alleged liabilities and the negotiation of the proposed Consent Decree.

In the meantime, based upon currently available information, please be advised that CNA does not consent to CDE's execution of the proposed Consent Decree, and continues to reserve all of its rights, including without limitation: (1) to assert any and all defenses to coverage, including that CNA is not liable for monetary obligations assumed or voluntarily paid; (2) to void coverage to the extent that CDE violates and terms and/or conditions of the CNA policies, including all provisions concerning assistance, cooperation, subrogation, and/or voluntary payment contained or incorporated as part of the policies; and (3) to seek any and all other appropriate relief as CNA investigates the timing, manner, method and basis through which CDE has negotiated and agreed to the terms, conditions and provisions of the Consent Decree.

Robert S. Sanoff, Esq. July 27, 2012 Page 3

Please be further advised that CNA continues to reserve all of its rights to assert, without limitation, the defenses set forth more fully in its prior coverage position letters and in its Answer to the Complaint in the above-referenced consolidated matters.

Very truly yours,

Jonathan P. McHenry

Gonathan P. M. Henry

cc: Neil V. Mody, Esq.